arXiv:1402.3682v1 [math.FA] 15 Feb 2014

Directional time-frequency analysis via continuous frames[☆]

Ole Christensen[1], Brigitte Forster[2], Peter Massopust[3,4]

Abstract

Grafakos and Sansing [GS08] have shown how to obtain directionally sensitive time-frequency decompositions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ based on Gabor systems in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$; the key tool is the "ridge idea," which lifts a function of one variable to a function of several variables. We generalize their result by showing that similar results hold starting with general frames for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, both in the setting of discrete frames and continuous frames. This allows to apply the theory for several other classes of frames, e.g., wavelet frames and shift-invariant systems. We will consider applications to the Meyer wavelet and complex B-splines. In the special case of wavelet systems we show how to discretize the representations using ϵ-nets.

Keywords: Discrete and continuous frames, Gabor system, ridge function, Radon transform, directionally sensitive time-frequency decomposition, shift-invariant system, Meyer wavelet, complex B-spline, discretization of the sphere
2010 MSC: 42C15, 42C40, 65D07

[☆]Research partially supported by a Start-Up Grant for Collaboration between EuroTech Universities funded by the Presidential Office, Technische Univeristät München, Germany

[1]Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science, Technical University of Denmark, Building 303, 2800 Lyngby, Denmark, ochr@dtu.dk

[2]Fakultät für Informatik und Mathematik, Universität Passau, Innstr. 33, 94032 Passau, Germany, brigitte.forster@uni-passau.de

[3]Zentrum Mathematik, M6, Technische Universität München, Boltzmannstr. 3, 85747 Garching, Germany, massopust@ma.tum.de

[4]Helmholtz Zentrum München,Ingolstädter Landstraße 1, 8764 Neuherberg, Germany

1. Introduction

Expansions of functions or signals as superpositions of basic building blocks with desired properties is one of the main tools in signal analysis. The expansions can be either in terms of an integral, a discrete sum, or a combination of both.

Many real-world signals depend on more than one variable. Depending on the type of expansion one is interested in, there are various ways to obtain such expansions. If an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is given, one can obtain an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via a simple tensor product, but this is highly inefficient. Some of the standard methods to obtain expansions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, e.g., wavelet frames of Gabor frames, have similar versions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, but they might not be optimal in order to detect features or special properties of the signal at hand. Other expansions are born in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, typically for $n = 2, 3$, e.g., caplets [HR08], ridgelets [Can99, CD04] and shearlets [GL07]; all of these can be considered as higher-dimensional wavelet-type systems with additional structure.

A different approach (parallel to the ridgelet construction) for Gabor systems was proposed by Grafakos and Sansing [GS08]. Starting with Gabor systems in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, they developed a directionally sensitive Gabor-type expansion in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ using ridge functions. Two approaches were discussed in [GS08]: a discrete one, based on Gabor frames for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and a semi-discrete version based on continuous Gabor systems generated by two non-perpendicular functions.

In this paper, we extend the main results in [GS08] in various ways. First, we observe that the above mentioned non-orthogonality places [GS08] in the setting of continuous frames, originally developed by Ali et al. [AAG93] resp. by Kaiser [Kai94]. Using techniques from frame theory, we then prove that the results in [GS08] have parallel versions starting with general frames for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, both in the discrete and the continuous setting. The results are applied to the Meyer wavelet and complex B-splines. In the special case of wavelet systems we show how to discretize the representations using ϵ-nets.

In the rest of the introduction, we will introduce some notation and state the necessary facts about ridge functions and (continuous) frames. Then, in Section 2 we present the generalizations of the results in [GS08]. Semi-discrete representations of functions in $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ are investigated in Section 3, where we also apply the results to the Meyer wavelet and to complex B-splines. In the final Section 4, we obtain fully discrete representations

for wavelet-type systems on bounded domains, by replacing the integral over the unit sphere by an appropriately chosen ε-net.

Some remarks concerning the notation: Since we deal with functions in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and lift them to functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we need to consider inner products and the Fourier transform on different spaces. In general, for functions $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^m),\ m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the Fourier transform by

$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x\cdot\gamma}\, d\gamma,\ \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

where $x\cdot\gamma$ denotes the canonical inner product on $\mathbb{R}^m$. We extend the Fourier transform to a unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ in the usual way. The inverse Fourier transform of a function f will be denoted by $f^\vee$. Also, for functions $f, g : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{C}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we use the notation

$$\langle f, g\rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(x)\overline{g(x)}\, dx \tag{1.1}$$

whenever the right hand side converges. The unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^m$ will be denoted by $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}$, and the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions on $\mathbb{R}$ by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

1.1. Ridge functions and the Radon transform

Let us now introduce the "ridge procedure" that lifts functions of one variable to functions of several variables. Ridge functions were originally introduced by Pinkus [Pin93]. Our starting point is to extend the ordinary differential operator on $\mathbb{R}$ to certain non-differentiable functions. In fact, given $\alpha > 0$, define the differential operator $\mathcal{D}^\alpha$, acting on functions $h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, by

$$\mathcal{D}^\alpha(h) := (\widehat{h}(\cdot)|\cdot|^\alpha)^\vee; \tag{1.2}$$

this definition clearly also makes sense for a large class of non-differentiable functions.

In the entire note, we use the following terminology, which relates functions (written with lower case letters), the corresponding ridge functions (written with a subscript), the action of the differential operator on the given function (written with capital letters), and the associated ridge function (written with capital letters and a subscript).

Definition 1.1 *Consider any function $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.*

(i) For $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, define the ridge function g_u on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$g_u(x) := g(u \cdot x),\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{1.3}$$

(ii) Let

$$G(s) := \mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\, g(s),\ s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.4}$$

(iii) For $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, define the weighted ridge function G_u by

$$G_u(x) := G(u \cdot x),\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{1.5}$$

Given $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ the *Radon transform* of a function $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (in the direction u) is defined by

$$R_u f(s) := \int_{u \cdot x = s} f(x)\, dx,\ s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.6}$$

The Radon transform can be extended to a bounded operator from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, see, e.g., [Nat01, p. 16 ff.]. We also note that the *Fourier slice theorem* relates the (one-dimensional) Fourier transform of the Radon transform of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the (n-dimensional) Fourier transform of f by the formula

$$\widehat{R_u(f)}(\eta) = \widehat{f}(\eta u),\ \eta \in \mathbb{R}, u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

The following lemma shows a close relation between ridge functions and the Radon transform.

Lemma 1.2 *For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, and $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,*

$$\langle f, g_u \rangle = \langle R_u f, g \rangle. \tag{1.7}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g_u \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \overline{g(u \cdot x)}\, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{u \cdot x = s} f(x) \overline{g(u \cdot x)}\, dx \right) ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{u \cdot x = s} f(x) dx \right) \overline{g(s)}\, ds = \langle R_u f, g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

1.2. Continuous frames

In this section we review some of the known results about general continuous frames, as well as their concrete manifestations within Gabor analysis and wavelet theory.

Definition 1.3 *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space and M a measure space with a positive measure μ. A continuous frame is a family of vectors $\{f_k\}_{k\in M}$ for which the following hold:*

(i) For all $f \in \mathcal{H}$, the mapping $k \mapsto \langle f, f_k\rangle$ is a measurable function on M.

(ii) There exist constants $A, B > 0$ such that

$$A\ ||f||^2 \leq \int_M |\langle f, f_k\rangle|^2\, d\mu(k) \leq B\ ||f||^2,\ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The continuous frame $\{f_k\}_{k\in M}$ is tight if we can choose $A = B$.

For every continuous frame, there exists at least one *dual continuous frame,* i.e., a continuous frame $\{g_k\}_{k\in M}$ such that each $f \in \mathcal{H}$ has the representation

$$f = \int_M \langle f, f_k\rangle g_k\, d\mu(k); \tag{1.8}$$

the integral in (1.8) should be interpreted in the weak sense, i.e., as

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \int_M \langle f, f_k\rangle\langle g_k, g\rangle\, d\mu(k),\ \forall f, g \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{1.9}$$

If $\{f_k\}_{k\in M}$ is a continuous tight frame with bound A, then $\{A^{-1}f_k\}_{k\in M}$ is a dual continuous frame.

Continuous frames generalize the more widely known (discrete) frames. In fact, in the case where $I\ (= M)$ is a countable set equipped with the counting measure, Definition 1.3 yields the classical frames. Continuous frames were introduced independently by Ali et al. [AAG93] and Kaiser [Kai94]. We will present the most important concrete cases below; for constructions of (discrete) frames, see the monographs [Dau92, Grö01, Chr07].

There are also several well known examples of continuous frames for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ available in the literature. In order to introduce these, consider the translation, modulation, and scaling–operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$T_a f(x) := f(x-a),\quad E_b f(x) := e^{2\pi i b x} f(x),\quad D_c f(x) := c^{1/2} f(cx),$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, c > 0$.

A system of functions of the form $\{E_b T_a g\}_{a,b\in\mathbb{R}}$ is called a (continuous) *Gabor system.* We state the following well known result, see, e.g., [Grö01, Theorem 3.2.1],[Chr07, Proposition 9.9.1].

Proposition 1.4 *Let $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle f_1, E_b T_a g_1\rangle \overline{\langle f_2, E_b T_a g_2\rangle}\, db\, da = \langle f_1, f_2\rangle \langle g_2, g_1\rangle.$$

Proposition 1.4 has an immediate and well known consequence concerning the construction of continuous tight Gabor frames and dual pairs. The result shows that it is very easy to construct such frames, especially with windows belonging to the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

Corollary 1.5

(i) For any $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\backslash\{0\}$, the Gabor system $\{E_b T_a g\}_{a,b\in\mathbb{R}}$ is a continuous tight frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ equipped with the Lebesgue measure, with frame bound $A = ||g||^2$.

(ii) For any functions $g_1, g_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for which $\langle g_1, g_2\rangle \neq 0$, the Gabor systems $\{E_b T_a g_1\}_{a,b\in\mathbb{R}}$ and $\{\frac{1}{\langle g_1, g_2\rangle} E_b T_a g_2\}_{a,b\in\mathbb{R}}$ are dual continuous frames.

A *wavelet system* has the form $\{D_a T_b \psi\}_{a\neq 0, b\in\mathbb{R}}$ for a suitable function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We say that ψ satisfies the *admissibility condition* if

$$C_\psi := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\widehat{\psi}(\gamma)|^2}{|\gamma|} d\gamma < \infty. \tag{1.10}$$

The admissibility condition gives the following result, see, e.g., [Dau92, Prop. 2.4.1]:

Proposition 1.6 *Assume that ψ is admissible. Then, for all functions $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle f, D_a T_b \psi\rangle \overline{\langle g, D_a T_b \psi\rangle}\, \frac{da\, db}{a^2} = C_\psi \langle f, g\rangle. \tag{1.11}$$

Again, Proposition 1.6 immediately leads to a construction of a tight frame:

Corollary 1.7 *If $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is admissible, then $\{D_a T_b \psi\}_{a\neq 0, b\in\mathbb{R}}$ is a continuous frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ equipped with the Haar measure $\frac{1}{a^2} da\, db$, with frame bound $A = C_\psi$.*

Note that the admissibility condition is easy to satisfy, even with generators $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. In fact, all functions $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ with vanishing mean

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x)\, dx = \widehat{\psi}(0) = 0$$

satisfy the admissibility condition, see, e.g., [LMR94].

2. Decompositions via continuous frames

We first show that any pair of continuous dual frames for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of functions in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ leads to an integral representation of functions $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which $\widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This generalizes Theorem 3 in [GS08]. Note that Theorem 3 in [GS08] does not use the term *continuous frame,* but just the technical condition $\langle g_1, g_2\rangle \neq 0$; in the particular context of Gabor analysis this means exactly that the functions g_1, g_2 generate continuous dual Gabor frames, as we saw in Corollary 1.5.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\{f_k\}_{k\in M}$ and $\{g_k\}_{k\in M}$ be dual continuous frames for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, consisting of functions in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Then, for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$f = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_M \langle f, G_{k,u}\rangle F_{k,u}\, dk\, du. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Calculating the left-hand-side using Lemma 1.2 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_M \langle f, G_{k,u}\rangle F_{k,u}(x)\, dk\, du \\
= \;& \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_M \langle R_u f, G_k\rangle F_k(u\cdot x)\, dk\, du \\
= \;& \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_M \langle \widehat{R_u f}, \widehat{G_k}\rangle F_k(u\cdot x)\, dk\, du \\
= \;& \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_M \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{R_u f}(\sigma)\overline{\widehat{g_k}(\sigma)}\, |\sigma|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\, d\sigma F_k(u\cdot x)\, dk\, du.
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$F_k(u\cdot x)=\left(\widehat{f_k}(\cdot)|\cdot|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)^{\vee}(u\cdot x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{2\pi i\eta u\cdot x}\widehat{f_k}(\eta)|\eta|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\,d\eta,$$

so we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_M\langle f,G_{k,u}\rangle F_{k,u}(x)\,dk\,du\\
=\;&\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_M\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\widehat{R_uf}(\sigma)\overline{\widehat{g_k}(\sigma)}\,|\sigma|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\,d\sigma\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{2\pi i\eta u\cdot x}\widehat{f_k}(\eta)|\eta|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\,d\eta\,dk\,du\\
=\;&\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\int_M\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\sigma|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\widehat{R_uf}(\sigma)\overline{\widehat{g_k}(\sigma)}\,d\sigma\widehat{f_k}(\eta)\,dk\right)e^{2\pi i\eta u\cdot x}|\eta|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\,d\eta\,du.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that because $\{f_k\}_{k\in M}$ and $\{g_k\}_{k\in M}$ are dual continuous frames for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, also $\{\widehat{f_k}\}_{k\in M}$ and $\{\widehat{g_k}\}_{k\in M}$ are dual continuous frames. The term above in the parantheses is exactly the frame decomposition with respect to these frames of the function $|\cdot|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\widehat{R_uf}(\cdot)$, evaluated at the point η; thus

$$\left(\int_M\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\sigma|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\widehat{R_uf}(\sigma)\overline{\widehat{g_k}(\sigma)}\,d\sigma\widehat{f_k}(\eta)\,dk\right)=|\eta|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\widehat{R_uf}(\eta).$$

Inserting this yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_M\langle f,G_{k,u}\rangle F_{k,u}(x)\,dk\,du\\
&\qquad=\;\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\eta|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\widehat{R_uf}(\eta)e^{2\pi i\eta u\cdot x}|\eta|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\,d\eta\,du\\
&\qquad=\;\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\eta|^{n-1}\widehat{R_uf}(\eta)e^{2\pi i\eta u\cdot x}\,d\eta\,du. \qquad (2.2)
\end{aligned}$$

From here on, we can just refer to the proof of Theorem 3 in [GS08] in order to complete the proof. In fact, by the Fourier slice theorem, $\widehat{R_uf}(\eta)=\widehat{f}(\eta u)$; inserting this in (2.2), and splitting the integral over $\mathbb{R}$ into integrals over $]-\infty,0]$ and $[0,\infty[$, a few changes of variables yield that (2.2) equals $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\widehat{f}(y)e^{2\pi ix\cdot y}\,dy=f(x)$, as desired. □

We have already sen in Section 1 that it is easy to construct continuous tight wavelet frames for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ that are generated by functions $\psi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$; thus, it is easy to give applications of Theorem 2.1. However, for the purpose of applications our ultimate goal is to provide discrete realizations of the theory, so we will not consider concrete cases here.

3. Semi-discrete representations

The integral representation in Theorem 2.1 involves integrals over as well the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ as the set M. Letting M be a discrete set equipped with the counting measure, we can of course apply the result to discrete frames as well; in this case we obtain what we will call a semi-discrete representation of functions $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, only involving an integral over $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and a sum over the discrete index set. Nevertheless, we will follow the approach by Grafakos and Sensing, see Theorem 5 in [GS08], where a semi-discrete representation is derived in the Gabor case, independently of the continuous case. The reason for doing this is that the technical conditions are slightly weaker in this approach, leading to a representation that is valid for a larger class of functions.

In order to prove the next theorem, we need a result that is stated as part of Lemma 2, [GS08].

Lemma 3.1 *Given a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have that*

$$\mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} R_u f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

for almost every $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let I be a countable index set.*

(i) Let $\{g_k\}_{k\in I} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ denote a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with frame bounds A, B, and define the associated functions G_k and $G_{k,u}$ as in Definition 1.1. Then

$$A\,||f||^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{k\in I} |\langle f, G_{k,u}\rangle|^2 \, du \leq B||f||^2. \tag{3.1}$$

(ii) Assuming that $\{g_k\}_{k\in I}$ and $\{f_k\}_{k\in I}$ are dual frames for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, both consisting of functions in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$f = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{k\in I} \langle f, G_{k,u}\rangle F_{k,u} \, du. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.2, applied to the function G_k, we have that

$$\langle f, G_{k,u}\rangle = \langle R_u f, G_k\rangle = \langle R_u f, \mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} g_k\rangle = \langle \mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} R_u f, g_k\rangle, \tag{3.3}$$

where the last equality following by partial integration and the assumption $g_k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Now, by the frame assumption on $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$,

$$A\,||\mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} R_u f||^2 \leq \sum_{k\in I} |\langle \mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} R_u f, g_k\rangle|^2 \leq B\,||\mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} R_u f||^2. \tag{3.4}$$

As shown in [GS08],

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} ||\mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} R_u f||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\, du = 2\,||f||^2. \tag{3.5}$$

Thus, integrating (3.4) over $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and applying (3.3) yields the result in (i).

As for the proof of (ii), the frame decomposition associated with the frames $\{f_k\}_{k\in I}$ and $\{g_k\}_{k\in I}$ and applied to the function $\mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} R_u f$ yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{n-1} R_u f = \mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} R_u f &= \mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \sum_{k\in I} \langle \mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} R_u f, g_k\rangle f_k \\
&= \sum_{k\in I} \langle \mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} R_u f, g_k\rangle \mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} f_k \\
&= \sum_{k\in I} \langle R_u f, G_k\rangle F_k \\
&= \sum_{k\in I} \langle f, G_{k,u}\rangle F_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \mathcal{D}^{n-1} R_u(f)(u\cdot x)\, du,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{k\in I} \langle f, G_{k,u}\rangle F_k(u\cdot x)\, du = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{k\in I} \langle f, G_{k,u}\rangle F_k(u\cdot x)\, du \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{k\in I} \langle f, G_{k,u}\rangle F_{k,u}(x)\, du,
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

It is easy to satisfy the assumptions in Theorem 3.2; see, e.g., [Woj99, Theorem 3.4]. Let us illustrate the result by considering the Meyer wavelet.

Example 3.3 Let $\nu : \mathbb{R} \to [\,0,1\,]$ be a smooth function of sigmoidal shape required to satisfy $\nu(y) = 0$ for $y \le 0$, $\nu(y) = 1$ for $y \ge 1$, and $\nu(y) + \nu(1-y) = 1$. An example of such a function is for instance the polynomial $\nu(y) = y^4(35 - 84y + 70y^2 - 20y^3)$, for $y \in [\,0,1\,]$.

Now let

$$w(y) := \begin{cases} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\nu(\frac{3y}{2\pi} - 1)\right), & \text{for } \frac{2\pi}{3} \le y \le \frac{4\pi}{3}, \\ \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\nu(\frac{3y}{2\pi} - 1)\right), & \text{for } \frac{4\pi}{3} \le y \le \frac{8\pi}{3}, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

The classical Meyer wavelet ψ is defined in the Fourier domain by

$$\widehat{\psi}(\gamma) := e^{-i\pi\gamma}(w(2\pi\gamma) + w(-2\pi\gamma)).$$

It is well known that ψ is a Schwartz function and that

$$\{\psi_{k,m}\}_{k,m\in\mathbb{Z}} := \{2^{-m/2}\psi(2^{-m}\cdot - k) \mid k, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, see [Dau92, LMR94, Woj99]. In particular, $\{\psi_{k,m}\}_{k,m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame, which is its own dual. Thus, we can apply Theorem 3.2; the functions $G_{k,u} = F_{k,u}$ have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{k,m,u}(x) &:= \Psi_{k,m}(u\cdot x) = \mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\psi_{k,m}(u\cdot x) \\ &= (|\cdot|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\widehat{\psi_{k,m}})^{\vee}(u\cdot x), \qquad k,m\in\mathbb{Z},\ u\in\mathbb{S}^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 2$, the Meyer wavelet $\psi = \psi_{0,0}$ and the ridge function $\Psi_{0,0,u}$ with $u := (1,2)^T/\sqrt{5}$, are plotted in Figure 1.

Example 3.4 Complex B-splines $\beta_z : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ are a natural extension of the classical Curry-Schoenberg B-splines. They were introduced in [FBU06] and then studied and extended in a series of papers, see, e.g., [FGMS13, FMÜ12, FM11, MF10]. Given $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} z > 1$, the complex B-spline β_z is defined in the Fourier domain by

$$\widehat{\beta}_z(\gamma) := \left(\frac{1 - e^{-2\pi i\gamma}}{2\pi i\gamma}\right)^z. \tag{3.6}$$

Setting $\Omega : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, $\gamma \mapsto \frac{1-e^{-2\pi i\gamma}}{2\pi i\gamma}$, one notices that

$$\operatorname{graph}\Omega \cap \{(0,y) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R} \mid y < 0\} = \emptyset,$$



(a) (b)



(c) (d)



(e)

Figure 1: (a) The real-valued Meyer wavelet $\psi_{0,0}$ and (b) its Fourier transform; real, imaginary part and absolute value are plotted in normal, dashed and thick lines, respectively. (c) The generator $\Psi_{0,0}$ and (d) its Fourier transform (again real, imaginary part and absolute value). (e) The ridge frame generator $\Psi_{0,0,u}$ with $u = (1, 0)^T$.

implying that complex B-splines reside on the main branch of the complex logarithm and are thus well-defined.

Compared with the classical cardinal B-splines, complex B-splines β_z possess an additional modulation and phase factor in the frequency domain:

$$\widehat{\beta}_z(\gamma) = \widehat{\beta}_{\operatorname{Re} z}(\gamma)\, e^{i\operatorname{Im} z \ln|\Omega(\gamma)|}\, e^{-\operatorname{Im} z \arg\Omega(\gamma)}. \tag{3.7}$$

The existence of these two factors allows the extraction of additional information from sampled data. In fact, the spectrum of a complex B-spline consists of the spectrum of a real-valued B-spline combined with a modulating and a damping factor. The presence of an imaginary part causes the frequency components on the negative and positive real axis to be enhanced with different signs. This has the effect of shifting the frequency spectrum towards the negative or positive frequency side, depending on the sign of the imaginary part. This allows for an approximate single band analysis which is not possible with any real valued function, but necessary for certain phase retrieval tasks in signal processing[FBU06, For]. Figure 3.4 gives an example of a complex B-spline in time domain as well as in frequency domain.

For $r < \operatorname{Re} z - \frac{1}{2}$ the complex B-splines belong to the Sobolev spaces $H^r(\mathbb{R})$ (with respect to the L^2-Norm and with weight $(1+|x|^2)^r$).

Complex B-splines generate a multiresolution analysis $\{V_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, $\{\beta_z(\cdot - \ell) \mid \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Riesz basis for V_0.

To construct the corresponding orthonormalized wavelet ψ_z, we apply a high pass filter to the complex B-spline scaling function β_z. To this end, denote by

$$A_z(\gamma) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\beta}_z(\gamma+k)|^2$$

the autocorrelation filter. The convergence of the series is proved in [FBU06]. Then

$$\widehat{\beta}_{z,\perp}(\gamma) = \widehat{\beta}_z(\gamma)/\sqrt{A_z(\gamma)}$$

is an orthonormal scaling function. For an example, see Figure 3.4.

The scaling filter is denoted by

$$H_z(\gamma/2) = \frac{\widehat{\beta}_{z,\perp}(\gamma)}{\widehat{\beta}_{z,\perp}(\gamma/2)}.$$

The associated orthonormal wavelet $\psi_{z,\perp}$ is given by

$$\widehat{\psi}_{z,\perp}(\gamma) = -e^{-i\pi\gamma}\, \overline{H_z((\gamma+1)/2}\; \widehat{\beta}_{z,\perp}(\gamma/2).$$



Figure 2: Complex B-spline β_z in time domain (left) and frequency domain (right) for $z = 3.5 + i$. The spline in time domain has its support on the positive real axis, i.e., the spline is causal. Moreover, the frequency spectrum is shifted to the positive frequencies because of the positive imaginary part of z. Thick lines indicate the modulus, thin lines the real part and dashed lines the imaginary part.



Figure 3: Complex orthonormalized B-spline $\beta_{z,\perp}$ in time domain (left) and frequency domain (right) for $z = 3.5 + i$. Thick lines indicate the modulus, thin lines the real part and dashed lines the imaginary part. In comparison with figure 3.4, $\beta_{z,\perp}$ is not causal anymore, but still has the shift in the frequency spectrum to the positive real axis.

Although complex B-splines do not belong to the function class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, for the existence of integrals of the type (1.1) that involve functions as defined in (1.4), it is not necessary that $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. It suffices that f and g belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ or that additionally one of them belongs to a Sobolev space $H^r(\mathbb{R})$ with $r > \frac{n-1}{2}$, i.e., an appropriate subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Choosing z for β_z so that $\operatorname{Re} z > \frac{n}{2}$ these integrals exist. Therefore, we can define

$$\Psi_{z;k,l,u}(x) := \Psi_{z;k,l}(u \cdot x) = \mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \psi_{z;k,l}(u \cdot x) = (|\cdot|^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \widehat{\psi_{z;k,l}})^{\vee}(u \cdot x),$$

we can again apply Theorem 3.2 to obtain decompositions of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For illustrations, see Figures 4 and 5.

4. Discrete Representations

In this section, we consider the cube,

$$Q := [-1, 1]^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and functions $f \in L^2(Q)$. We will present a discretization of the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ which ultimately leads to a complete discrete representation of functions $f \in L^2(Q)$. This discretization was also considered in [Can99] and is based on the concept of an ε–net. It is one of several existing discretization methodologies (other choices include the methods in [CP02], [NW96], and [BH07]). Let us recall the definition of a finite ε–net.

Definition 4.1 *Let (X, d) be a metric space and a discrete set $N \subset X$. Given any $\epsilon > 0$, the set N is called an ε–net for M if*

(a) $\inf\{d(y, y') \mid y \neq y' \in N\} \geq \varepsilon$;

(b) $\inf\{r \mid \bigcup_{y \in N} \overline{B}_r(y) \supseteq X\} \leq \varepsilon$, *where $\overline{B}_r(y)$ denotes the closed ball of radius $r > 0$ centered at y.*

An ε-net is called finite if N is a finite set.

Note that since the sphere is compact, hence totally bounded, an ε–net exists for $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$; see [Sut75].

We employ the following discretization procedure for $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$; see also [Can99].

(i) Choose an $a_0 > 1$, and discretize the scale parameter a by the sequence $\{a_k := a_0^k \mid k \in I\}$, where $I := \{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid k \geq k_0\}$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ is selected appropriately.



Figure 4: Time domain representation (a) of the orthonormalized complex spline wavelet $\psi_{z,\perp}$ and (b) of its ridge variant $\Psi_{z,0,0}$. Spectrum (c) of $\widehat{\psi}_{z,\perp}$ and (d) of $\widehat{\Psi}_{z,0,0}$ for $z = 3.5+i$. Thick lines indicate the modulus, thin lines the real part and dashed lines the imaginary part.



Figure 5: Orthonormal spline ridge wavelet $\Psi_{z,0,0}(u \cdot x)$ associated to the complex spline scaling function $\beta_{z,\perp}$ for $z = 3.5+i$ for $u = (1\ 0)^T$. (a) Modulus, (b) real and (c) imaginary part.

(ii) For $k \in I$, set $\varepsilon_k := \frac{1}{2}\, a_{k_0-k}$.

(iii) Let S_k^{n-1} be an ε_k-net of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and require that following condition holds: There exist positive constants $c = c(n)$ and $C = C(n)$ so that for all $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and all $\varepsilon_k \leq r \leq 2$

$$c\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon_k}\right)^{n-1} \leq \operatorname{card}\left(\{B_r(u) \cap \mathbb{S}_k^{n-1}\}\right) \leq C\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon_k}\right)^{n-1}.$$

Note that for $0 < r \leq \varepsilon_k$, $B_r(u) \subseteq B_{\varepsilon_k}(u)$, and thus $\operatorname{card}\{B_r(u) \cap S_k^{n-1}\} \leq C$. It can be proved that the number of points N_k in the ε_k-net satisfies the following bounds:

$$c\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon_k}\right)^{n-1} \leq N_k \leq C\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon_k}\right)^{n-1}.$$

Next, we list the standing assumptions for this section.

General setup: Let $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and assume that

(i) $\displaystyle\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\widehat{g}(\gamma)|^2}{|\gamma|^n} d\gamma < \infty$;

(ii) $\displaystyle\inf_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq a_0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left|\widehat{g}(a_0^{-k}\gamma)\right|^2 \left|a_0^{-k}\gamma\right|^{-2(n-1)} > 0$;

(iii) $|\widehat{g}(\gamma)| \leq K|\gamma|^{\alpha}\,(1+|\gamma|)^{-\beta}$, for some $K > 0$, $\alpha > \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $\beta > \alpha + \frac{n+3}{2}$.

In particular, we remark that

- if condition (i) is satisfied, then $G := \mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\, g$ satisfies the admissibility condition (1.10);
- condition (ii) is satisfied if

$$\inf_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq a_0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left|\widehat{g}(a_0^{-k}\gamma)\right|^2 \left|a_0^{-k}\gamma\right|^{-(n-1)} > 0.$$

For the proof of our result we need [Can99, Theorem 4], which we state here in our notation:

Theorem 4.2 *[Can99, Theorem 4] Assume that the function $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies the following two conditions:*

- $\inf\limits_{1\le|\gamma|\le a_0} \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} \left|\widehat{g}(a_0^{-k}\gamma)\right|^2 \left|a_0^{-k}\gamma\right|^{-(n-1)} > 0$;
- $|\widehat{g}(\gamma)| \le K|\gamma|^{\alpha}\,(1+|\gamma|)^{-\beta}$, *for some* $K>0$, $\alpha > \frac{n-1}{2}$ *and* $\beta > 2+\alpha$.

Then there exists $b_0 > 0$ so that for any $b < b_0$, we can find two constants $A, B > 0$ (depending on g, a_0, b_0, and n) so that, for any $f \in L^2(Q)$,

$$A\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le \sum_{k\in I} \sum_{u\in S_k^{n-1}} \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, D_{a_k} T_{\ell b}\, G_u\rangle|^2 \le B\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{4.1}$$

We will now show that under the general setup and with the discretization of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ in term of the ε–net introduced above, there exists a discrete frame for $L^2(Q)$.

Theorem 4.3 *Let $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be as in the general setup and let $G := \mathcal{D}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\, g$. Then there exists a $b_0 > 0$ so that (4.1) holds for any given $b \in]0, b_0]$, i.e., the orthogonal projection of $\{D_{a_k} T_{\ell b}\, G_u \mid k \in I;\, \ell \in \mathbb{Z};\, u \in S_k^{n-1}\}$ onto $L^2(Q)$ forms a frame for $L^2(Q)$.*

Proof. Let G be defined as in (1.4). Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\widehat{G}(a_0^{-k}\gamma)\right|^2 \left|a_0^{-k}\gamma\right|^{-2(n-1)} &= \left|\widehat{g}(a_0^{-k}\gamma)\right|^2 \left|a_0^{-k}\gamma\right|^{n-1} \left|a_0^{-k}\gamma\right|^{-2(n-1)} \\ &= \left|\widehat{g}(a_0^{-k}\gamma)\right|^2 \left|a_0^{-k}\gamma\right|^{-(n-1)},\end{aligned}$$

and, therefore,

$$\inf_{1\le|\gamma|\le a_0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left|\widehat{G}(a_0^{-k}\gamma)\right|^2 \left|a_0^{-k}\gamma\right|^{-(n-1)} > 0.$$

Furthermore,

$$|\widehat{G}(\gamma)| = |\widehat{g}(\gamma)|\,|\gamma|^{(n-1)/2} \le K|\gamma|^{\alpha+(n-1)/2}\,(1+|\gamma|)^{-\beta}.$$

Hence, the function G satisfies the two conditions in Theorem 4.2 and the result follows. □

References

[AAG93] S. Twareque Ali, J.-P. Antoine, and J.-P. Gazeau. Continuous frames in Hilbert space. *Ann. Physics*, 222(1):1–37, 1993.

[BH07] J. S. Brauchart and K. Hesse. Numerical integration over spheres of arbitrary dimension. *Constructive Approximation*, 25:41–71, 2007.

[Can99] E. Candès. Harmonic analysis of neural network. *Appl. Comp. Harm. Anal*, 6:197–218, 1999.

[CD04] E. Candès and D. Donoho. New tight frames of curvelets and optimal representation of objects with piecewise C^2-singularites. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 57:219–266, 2004.

[Chr07] O. Christensen. *Frames and Bases. An Introductory Course*. Birkhäuser, 2007.

[CP02] M. Conrad and J. Prestin. Multiresolution on the sphere. In Armin Iske, Ewald Quak, and Michael S. Floater, editors, *Tutorials on Multiresolution in Geometric Modelling*, Mathematics and Visualization, pages 165–202. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2002.

[Dau92] I. Daubechies. *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*. SIAM, 1992.

[FBU06] B. Forster, T. Blu, and M. Unser. Complex B-splines. *Appl. Comp. Harmon. Anal.*, 20:281–282, 2006.

[FGMS13] B. Forster, R. Garunkštis, P. Massopust, and J. Steuding. Complex B-Splines and Hurwitz Zeta Functions. *London Math. Soc. Journal of Computation and Mathematics*, 16:61–77, 2013.

[FM11] B. Forster and P. Massopust. Splines of complex order: Fourier, filters and fractional derivatives. *Sampling Theory in Signal and Image Analysis*, 10(1–2):89–109, 2011.

[FMÜ12] B. Forster, P. Massopust, and T. Übelacker. Periodic splines of complex order. *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, 33(7–9):989–1004, 2012.

[For] B. Forster. Five good reasons for complex-valued transforms in image processing. In Press.

[GL07] K. Guo and D. Labate. Soptimally sparse multidimensional representations using shearlets. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 39(1):298–318, 2007.

[Grö01] K. Gröchenig. *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.

[GS08] L. Grafakos and C. Sansing. Gabor frames and directional time-frequency analysis. *Appl. Comp. Harm. Anal.*, 25:47–67, 2008.

[HR08] Y. Hur and A. Ron. L-CAMP: extremely local high-performance wavelet representations in high spatial dimension. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 54:2196–2208, 2008.

[Kai94] G. Kaiser. *A Friendly Guide to Wavelets*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994.

[LMR94] A. K. Louis, P. Maaß and A. Rieder. *Wavelets: Theorie und Anwendung*. Teubner Verlag, Stuttgart, first edition, 1994.

[MF10] P. Massopust and B. Forster. Multivariate complex B-splines and Dirichlet averages. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 162:252–269, 2010.

[Nat01] F. Natterer. *The Mathematics of Computerized Tomography*. SIAM, 2001.

[NW96] F. J. Narcowich and J. D. Ward. Nonstationary Wavelets on the m-Sphere for Scattered Data. *Appl. Comp. Harm. Anal.*, 3(4):324–336, 1996.

[Pin93] A. Pinkus. Interpolation by ridge functions. *J. Approx. Theory*, 73:218–236, 1993.

[Sut75] W. A. Sutherland. *Introduction to Metric and Topological Spaces*. Oxford University Press, 1975.

[Woj99] P. Wojtaszczyk. *A Mathematical Introduction to Wavelets*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.